



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,136	09/30/2003	Katie Kuwata	66329/31246	5723
23380	7590	08/13/2008	EXAMINER	
TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP 1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING 925 EUCLID AVENUE CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1414			ROBINSON, MYLES D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	2625		
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/13/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@tuckerellis.com  
mary.erne@tuckerellis.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/675,136	KUWATA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Myles D. Robinson	2625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Myles D. Robinson. (3) John X. Gared.

(2) Charlotte Baker. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 05 August 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shiimori (US 7,010,587) and Chan (US 6,073,147).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant proposed amendments to the claims to overcome the prior art made of record and further explained the inventive concept. The examiner then forewarned of possible objections and rejections based upon proposed amendments and stated that further search and/or consideration would be needed in light of proposed amendments to the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Myles D. Robinson/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2625

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required